

**New England Fishery Management Council
DoubleTree Hotel
Portland, Maine
July 23-25, 2002**

MOTIONS

July 23, 2002

HERRING

1. Mr. Flagg moved and Mr. Kendall seconded:

to recommend that the Council adopt the following specifications for the 2003 fishing year:

ABC	300,000 mt
OY	250,000 mt
DAH	250,000 mt
TALFF	0
DAP	236,000 mt
USAP	15,000 mt
BT	4,000 mt
JVPT	10,000 mt (5,000 mt each for JVP and IWP)

- 1a. Mr. Smith moved to substitute and Ms. Stevenson seconded:

to maintain the specifications and TACs (total allowable catches) that were in effect for the 2002 fishing year [*Attachment A, page 3 of Safe report*].

Yes – Anderson, Blount, Boragine, Borden, Hopkins, Nelson, Rice, Smith, Stevenson, Williamson

No – Calomo, Pierce, Kendall

Abstention(s) – Fernandes, Darcy, Flagg

Absent – Amorello

The motion to substitute carried on a roll call vote (10/3/3).

The substitute motion carried on a voice vote.

2. Mr. Flagg moved on behalf of the committee:

to recommend that fish landed under the JVPT specification can only be caught in Area 2.

Yes – Rice, Nelson, Kendall, Pierce, Calomo, Anderson

No – Williamson, Stevenson, Smith, Hopkins, Borden, Boragine, Blount

Abstention(s) – Flagg, Darcy, Fernandes

Absent – Amorello

The motion failed on a roll call vote (6/7/3).

3. Mr. Flagg moved on behalf of the committee:

to recommend that the USAP specification be limited to fish coming from Areas 2 and 3 only.

The motion carried on a voice vote.

4. Mr. Flagg moved on behalf of the committee:
to reduce the Area 2 reserve by 10,000 mt and increase the Area 3 TAC by 10,000 mt.

The motion carried on a voice vote.

5. Mr. Flagg moved on behalf of the committee:
to recommend that the Council not support the request for an additional 10,000 mt for JVs in 2002.

The motion carried on a show of hands.

6. Mr. Flagg moved on behalf of the committee:
to recommend that the Council not support the negotiation of a governing international fishery agreement (GIFA) with the European Union (EU).

- 6a. Dr. Pierce moved to substitute and Mr. Hopkins seconded:
that the Council support negotiation of a GIFA with the EU provided that negotiation involves the EU reducing trade barriers to herring and other species and agrees to comply with and implement international conservation measures such as those for tuna and other highly migratory species.

- 6b. Mr. Nelson moved and Mr. Williamson seconded:
to table the previous motion (#6a).

The motion to table failed on a show of hands.

By consensus, the substitute motion (#6a) was withdrawn.

By consensus, the main motion (#6) was withdrawn.

SCALLOPS

7. Mr. Hopkins moved and Mr. Williamson seconded:
that the Council consider the following motions, listed below, as a whole group:
- that the Council recommend adoption of Alternative 1 as a preferred strategy for Framework Adjustment 15;
 - that for the purpose of comparing the Amendment 7 target with the industry recommendation for a 120 days-at-sea allocation in 2003, that the Council request analysis of the current biomass to determine the maximum number of days-at-sea that could be allocated under the current overfishing definition;
 - to direct the Plan Development Team (PDT) to prepare an analysis for 45, 100, 120 and 140 (or equivalent to the 0.22 fishing mortality target) full-time days-at-sea with a continuation of the Hudson Canyon area access program tradeoffs [*Attachment B, Framework Adjustment 15 Decision Document*]. The PDT should also analyze another days-at-sea level within this range if it can be justified;
 - to accept the recommendation of the advisory panel to consider an allocation of 120 days-at-sea for full-time vessels, if justified;

- that Framework Adjustment 15 continue the controlled access program for the Hudson Canyon area with the same tradeoff mechanism and associated measures that applied during 2002, but with a 21,000-pound possession limit or another possession limit based on PDT analysis;
- to recommend that Framework Adjustment 15 continue the controlled access program for the VA/NC area with the same tradeoff mechanism and associated measures that applied during 2002, but with a 21,000-pound possession limit or another possession limit based on PDT analysis;
- that the Council recommend considering a range of fishing mortality rates for the controlled access program TACs for the Hudson Canyon and VA/NC areas;
- to include a provision in Framework Adjustment 15 to adjust days-at-sea tradeoffs based on hardship or other applicable circumstances that cause early terminations of Hudson Canyon and VA/NC area trips.

The motion carried on a show of hands.

SKATES

8. Mr. Fernandes moved on behalf of the committee:
that the Council accept the revised section of MSY/OY to the Draft Skate Fishery Management Plan (FMP) as well as the PDT recommendation that MSY/OY be added to the list of frameworkable measures [*Attachment C, Draft Skate FMP/EIS: Revised Section on MSY/OY*].

The motion carried on a voice vote.

9. Mr. Fernandes moved on behalf of the committee:
that the Council accept the revised section on rebuilding programs for overfished species for the Draft Skate FMP [*Attachment D, Draft Skate FMP/EIS: Revised Section on Rebuilding Programs for Overfished Species*].

The motion carried on a voice vote.

10. Mr. Nelson moved and Mr. Calomo seconded:
to include the following as Option 5A in the Draft Skate FMP document: “proposed modification to Roman Numeral V, Section 4.8.2, suggested by NMFS, for Council consideration to replace Roman Numeral V in the document, or to serve as an Option V-A for the purposes of public hearings: if the PDT recommends action, then the Council must select one of the PDT recommendations or other suitable measure to mitigate the impacts on skates. If the Council fails to act in a reasonable timeframe or rejects all of the alternatives proposed by the PDT, then the Regional Administrator will implement one or more of the measures recommended by the PDT through an agency framework including proposed and final rule.”

The motion carried on a show of hands.

11. Mr. Fernandes moved on behalf of the committee:
that the Council accept the revised section on management measures in other fisheries for the Draft Skate FMP for Council consideration, as modified by the committee discussion, including the recommendation to modify and/or reset the baseline through a framework adjustment [*Attachment E, Draft Skate FMP/EIS: Revised Section on Management Measures in Other Fisheries*].

The motion carried on a voice vote.

July 24, 2002

GROUND FISH

1. Mr. Hopkins moved Mr. Williamson seconded:
that the Council include as alternatives for analysis and consideration in the groundfish Amendment 13 Draft Supplemental Impact Statement (DSEIS) the Alternatives 5.1 through 5.9 in the document entitled "Potential Alternatives to Minimize Effects of Groundfishing on Essential Fish Habitat" dated July 17, 2002; provided that the proposed southern limit of a prohibition on hydraulic clam dredges in alternative 5.8 shall be 41°N latitude [*Attachment F, Potential Alternatives to Minimize Effects of Groundfishing on EFH*].

1a. The motion was perfected to read:
that the Council include as alternatives for analysis and consideration in the groundfish Amendment 13 DSEIS, as modified by Council discussion and consensus on July 24, 2002, the Alternatives 5.1 through 5.9 in the document entitled "Potential Alternatives to Minimize Effects of Groundfishing on EFH" dated July 17, 2002; provided that the proposed southern limit in Alternative 5.8 shall be 41°N latitude [*Attachment F*].

1b. Mr. Flagg moved to amend and Mr. Kendall seconded:
to designate that Section 5.8 be classified as considered but rejected.

The motion to amend carried on a show of hands.

1c. Mr. Nelson moved to amend and Dr. Pierce seconded:
that Section 5.5 be classified as considered but rejected.

The motion to amend failed on a show of hands.

1d. Ms. Stevenson moved to amend and Mr. Kendall seconded:
to include Option 2 under Section 5.2.4.1 of the draft Amendment 10 Scallop FMP [*Attachment G, page 64 of Draft Amendment 10*].

The motion to amend carried unanimously on a show of hands.

1e. Mr. Kendall moved to amend but it was not seconded:
to include the language "limit to restrict" to the main motion.

1f. The main motion, as amended, was voted:
that the Council include as alternatives for analysis and consideration in the groundfish Amendment 13 DSEIS, as modified by Council discussion and consensus on July 24, 2002, the Alternatives 5.1 through 5.9 in the document entitled "Potential Alternatives to Minimize Effects of Groundfishing on EFH" dated July 17, 2002; provided that the proposed southern limit in Alternative 5.8 shall be 41°N latitude. Also, to designate that Section 5.8 be classified as considered but rejected. Also, to include Option 2 under Section 5.2.4.1 of the draft Amendment 10 Scallop FMP.

The main motion, as amended, carried on a show of hands.

2. Mr. Fernandes moved and Ms. Stevenson seconded:
to include the constant harvest (Frulla) approach and the F proportional decrease (FPR) strategy in the DSEIS as referred to in the document entitled “Request for Consideration of Alternative Trajectories for Achieving Amendment 13 Targets” [*Attachment H, July 15 letter from Brand & Frulla*].

2a. Mr. Hopkins moved to amend and Ms. Kurkul seconded:
that the Council consider a 75% probability of achieving the objectives as another alternative to be analyzed along with the alternative strategies listed above.

The motion to amend failed on a show of hands.

2b. The main motion was voted:
to include the constant harvest (Frulla) approach and the F proportional decrease (FPR) strategy in the DSEIS as referred to in the document entitled “Request for Consideration of Alternative Trajectories for Achieving Amendment 13 Targets” [*Attachment H*].

The motion carried on a show of hands.

The following motions refer to the document entitled “Draft Summary of Alternatives for Consideration and Analysis in the DSEIS document” dated July 24, 2002[Attachment I]

3. Ms. Kurkul moved and Mr. Blount seconded:
that Days-at-Sea Management Alternatives 1 and 2 be included in the DSEIS for consideration by the Council in Amendment 13.

3a. Ms. Stevenson moved to amend and Mr. Fernandes seconded:
to eliminate the 2nd days-at-sea management Alternative 2 and deem it considered and rejected.

The motion to amend carried on a show of hands.

3b. Dr. Pierce moved to amend and Mr. Nelson seconded:
that Days-at-Sea Management Alternative 1 be included in the DSEIS for consideration by the Council in Amendment 13 and to eliminate the days-at-sea management Alternative 2 and deem it considered and rejected. Days-at-Sea Management Alternative 1 should have other options to avoid closing Blocks 124, 132 and 133 year-round [and to add Blocks 128 and 129]. These options should include: 1) gear modifications/requirements such as year-round use of the Ribas/topless net or a flatfish net for Areas 132 and 133 and use of the raised footrope trawl for Areas 123, 124 and 125; and 2) a prohibition on use of tie-down gillnets in Areas 123, 124 and 125 and stand-up gillnets in Areas 132 and 133.

3c. Mr. Anderson moved to amend but it was not seconded:
that the alternative include a large mesh category similar to what is currently being used.

- 3d. The motion to amend was voted:
that Days-at-Sea Management Alternative 1 be included in the DSEIS for consideration by the Council in Amendment 13 and to eliminate the days-at-sea management Alternative 2 and deem it considered and rejected. Days-at-Sea Management Alternative 1 should have other options to avoid closing Blocks 124, 132 and 133 year-round [and to add Blocks 128 and 129]. These options should include: 1) gear modifications/requirements such as year-round use of the Ribas/topless net or a flatfish net for Areas 132 and 133 and use of the raised footrope trawl for Areas 123, 124 and 125; and 2) a prohibition on use of tie-down gillnets in areas 123, 124 and 125 and stand-up gillnets in Areas 132 and 133.

The motion to amend carried on a show of hands.

- 3e. Mr. Amorello moved to amend and Mr. Blount seconded:
that the Council include the recreational measures that were brought forward from the recreational advisors to include a bag limit of 10 cod per person, per day, private recreational vessels bag limit of 10 cod per person, per day, include a party-charter vessel bag limit of 10 cod per person, per day (any trip in excess of 15 hours and covering 2 consecutive calendar days will be considered more than a day) with the consistent size limit based on the commercial minimum size in the Gulf of Maine (GOM) with no closed season for recreational, charter or party vessels in the GOM.

The motion to amend carried on a show of hands.

- 3f. Mr. Calomo moved to amend and Mr. Kendall seconded:
to change the language of Section 1.2.2.1 to read as follows: “from June thru December, fishing vessels can make two trips per month into the area that was opened for the scallop Closed Area 2 access program to target yellowtail flounder. Vessels are limited to 30,000 lbs. of yellowtail flounder per trip. Vessels are required to use vessel monitoring system (VMS). Vessels participating in this program will not be charged days-at-sea for the transit to Closed Area 2. They may not begin fishing until after entering the opened area and being charged days-at-sea, but may fish on Georges Bank east of 69°. The days-at-sea clock stops when the vessel returns to port.” Vessels will be limited to one-fifth of the daily Georges Bank cod trip limit (i.e. 300 lbs. if the trip limit is 1,500 lbs./day). Also, that the PDT consider TACs for yellowtail flounder and observer coverage, if needed.

- 3g. Mr. Hopkins moved to amend the amendment and Mr. Williamson seconded:
to change the last line of the previous motion to read: “also, that the PDT consider TACs for yellowtail flounder, Georges Bank cod and other species, and observer coverage, if needed.”

The motion to amend the amendment failed on a show of hands.

The motion to amend (#3f) carried on a show of hands.

- 3h. Mr. Anderson moved to amend and Mr. Nelson seconded:
that the large mesh program require 2-inch larger mesh in exchange for 25% increase in days-at-sea.

The motion to amend carried on a show of hands.

- 3i. Ms. Stevenson moved to amend and Mr. Fernandes seconded:
that the intent of the previous motion (#3h) be that the cod possession limit of the large mesh program be ½ of the trip limit for the remainder of the fishery.

The motion to amend carried on a show of hands.

- 3j. The main motion, as amended, was voted:
that Days-at-Sea Management Alternative 1 be included in the DSEIS for consideration by the Council in Amendment 13 and to eliminate the Days-at-Sea Management Alternative 2 and deem it considered and rejected. Days-at-Sea Management Alternative 1 should have other options to avoid closing Blocks 124, 132 and 133 year-round [and to add Blocks 128 and 129]. These options should include: 1) gear modifications/requirements such as year-round use of the Ribas/topless net or a flatfish net for Areas 132 and 133 and use of the raised footrope trawl for Areas 123, 124 and 125; and 2) a prohibition on use of tie-down gillnets in Areas 123, 124 and 125 and stand-up gillnets in Areas 132 and 133.

Also, that the Council include the recreational measures that were brought forward from the Recreational Advisors to include a bag limit of 10 cod per person, per day, private recreational vessels bag limit of 10 cod per person, per day, include a party-charter vessel bag limit of 10 cod per person, per day (any trip in excess of 15 hours and covering two consecutive calendar days will be considered more than a day) with the consistent size limit based on the commercial minimum size in the Gulf of Maine with no closed season for recreational, charter or party vessels in the Gulf of Maine.

Also, to change the language of Section 1.2.2.1 to read as follows: “from June thru December, fishing vessels can make two trips per month into the area that was opened for the scallop Closed Area 2 access program to target yellowtail flounder. Vessels are limited to 30,000 lbs. of yellowtail flounder per trip. Vessels are required to use VMS. Vessels participating in this program will not be charged days-at-sea for the transit to Closed Area 2. They may not begin fishing until after entering the opened area and being charged days-at-sea, but may fish on Georges Bank east of 69°. The days-at-sea clock stops when the vessel returns to port.” Vessels will be limited to one-fifth of the daily Georges Bank cod trip limit (i.e. 300 lbs. if the trip limit is 1,500 lbs./day). Also, that the PDT consider TACs for yellowtail flounder and observer coverage, if needed.

Also, that the large mesh program require two-inch larger mesh in exchange for 25% increase in days-at-sea. The intent of the previous motion is that the cod possession limit of the large mesh program be ½ of the trip limit for the remainder of the fishery.

The main motion, as amended, carried on a show of hands.

4. Mr. Nelson moved and Mr. Boragine seconded:
that the Council include Section 1.4, Negotiated Settlement Agreement/DAS, into the DSEIS document.
- 4a. Mr. Boragine moved to amend and Mr. Amorello seconded:
that the Council include Section 1.4, Negotiated Settlement Agreement/DAS, into the DSEIS document and to include an additional option, entitled “Alternative A,” as revised this date, [*Attachment J*] to the Negotiated Settlement Agreement, Section 1.4.

- 4b. Mr. Blount moved to amend the amendment and Ms. Stevenson seconded:
that all haddock recreational measures in Amendment 13 will include 19" size limit and no bag limit.

The motion to amend the amendment carried on a show of hands.

- 4c. Mr. Anderson moved to amend and Mr. Calomo seconded:
to have the fishing year period May 1, 1996 through April 30, 2002 be considered as an option for
calculating the baseline.

The motion to amend failed on a show of hands.

- 4d. Mr. Anderson moved to amend and Mr. Calomo seconded:
that for the baseline year calculations, vessels that were in exempted fisheries that now require days-at-
sea use be included and that vessels that participated in NMFS research projects, that affected their days-
at-sea allocations, be included, not to exceed their allocation prior to April 30, 2002.

The motion to amend failed on a show of hands.

- 4e. Mr. Blount moved to amend and Mr. Hopkins seconded:
that a hook sector allocation, based on the average landings for that fishery in the 1996-2000 fishing
years, be added to the option and that that sector have a hard TAC based on the same reduction as the
rest of the fishery.

The motion to amend failed on a show of hands.

- 4f. Mr. Blount moved to amend and Ms. Stevenson seconded:
to take the backstop out of the trip limit.

The motion to amend failed on a show of hands.

- 4g. Ms. Stevenson moved to amend and Mr. Kendall seconded:
to eliminate the yearly declaration into the GOM/Georges Bank.

The motion to amend failed on a show of hands.

- 4h. The motion to amend was voted:
that the Council include Section 1.4, Negotiated Settlement Agreement/DAS, into the DSEIS document
and to include an additional option, entitled "Alternative A," as amended this date, to the Negotiated
Settlement Agreement, Section 1.4. Also, that all haddock recreational measures in Amendment 13 will
include a 19" size limit and no bag limit.

The motion to amend carried on a show of hands.

The amended motion carried on a show of hands.

July 25, 2002

GROUND FISH

1. Mr. Blount moved and Mr. Amorello seconded:
to adopt the Area Management Alternative, Section 1.5, into the Amendment 13 document.
- 1a. Mr. Williamson moved to amend and Mr. Borden seconded:
that there be an option under TAC calculations that sets the TAC for areas based solely on survey data.

The motion to amend carried on a show of hands.
- 1b. Mr. Williamson moved to amend but it was not seconded:
to have an option that the ratio for calculation of TACs based on vessel trip report (VTR) data be recalculated every three years based on the previous three years distribution pattern.
- 1c. Ms. Stevenson moved to amend and Mr. Kendall seconded:
that on Figure 4 and 5 (pages 16 and 17), that the east/west line for the western Georges Bank area extend to the west to eliminate the jag.

The motion to amend carried on a show of hands.
- 1d. Ms. Stevenson moved to amend and Mr. Kendall seconded:
that the US/Canada agreement line be specified in all of the area management options.

The motion to amend carried on a show of hands.
- 1e. Mr. Hopkins moved to amend and Mr. Williamson seconded:
to add another option under Section 1.5.1.2.3, that if the TAC in an area is exceeded, the TAC for the following year will be reduced by the same amount.

The motion to amend carried on a show of hands.
- 1f. Mr. Boragine moved to amend and Mr. Nelson seconded:
to add an option which will define the Southern New England (SNE) area to be bounded on the eastern edge by the 13700 line and following that line until it intersects with the 69° line and follow that line south.
- 1g. Mr. Borden moved and Mr. Nelson seconded:
to table the previous motion until later the day.

The motion to table carried on a voice vote.
- 1h. Mr. Fernandes moved to amend and Mr. Boragine seconded:
to include the original Georges Bank area boundaries from the first Groundfish Committee area management meeting.

The motion to amend carried on a show of hands.

- 1i. Mr. Anderson moved to amend but it was not seconded:
that pending a newly adopted dealer reporting system, a vessel performance system is developed that would identify vessels whose practice minimizes mortality of concerned species.
- 1j. The main motion as amended was voted:
to accept the area management alternative and that there be an option under TAC calculations that sets the TAC for areas based solely on survey data. Also, that on Figure 4 and 5 (pages 16 and 17), that the east/west line for the western Georges Bank area extend to the west to eliminate the jag; that the US/Canada agreement line be specified in all of the area management options; to add another option under Section 1.5.1.2.3, that if the TAC in an area is exceeded, the TAC for the following year will be reduced by the same amount and to include the original Georges Bank area boundaries from the first Groundfish Committee area management meeting.

Yes – Amorello, Blount, Boragine, Calomo, Pierce, Fernandes, Hopkins, Kurkul, Flagg, Nelson, Rice, Smith, Williamson

No – Anderson, Stevenson

Abstention(s) – Borden, Kendall

The main motion, as amended, carried on a roll call vote (13/2/2).

2. Mr. Rice moved and Mr. Nelson seconded:
to adopt the Sector Allocation Alternative, Section 1.5.3, and as amended this date by consensus, into the Amendment 13 document.

Yes – Williamson, Stevenson, Smith, Rice, Nelson, Flagg, Kurkul, Kendall, Hopkins, Fernandes, Pierce, Calomo, Borden, Boragine, Blount, Anderson, Amorello

No – none

Abstention(s) - none

The motion carried unanimously on a roll call vote (17/0/0).

3. Mr. Nelson moved and Mr. Borden seconded:
to return the tabled motion (#1f) to the floor.

The motion carried on a voice vote.

- 3a. The tabled motion was perfected:
to add an option which will define the SNE area to be bound on the north by the 41/30 line, on the eastern edge by the 13700 line and following that line until it intersects with the 69° line and follow that line south.

The motion carried on a voice vote.

4. Mr. Nelson moved and Mr. Williamson seconded:
to adopt the hard TAC alternative, Section 1.6, into the Amendment 13 document.

- 4a. Mr. Smith moved to amend and Mr. Boragine seconded:
that for the purposes of analysis in the EIS, to include an option where directed fisheries would have hard TACs and incidental catch fisheries would have soft TACs, with measures to minimize incidental catches.

The motion carried on a show of hands.

- 4b. Mr. Borden moved to amend and Mr. Calomo seconded:
that the PDT develop a system to allow any underages to be applied to subsequent periods.

The motion to amend carried on a show of hands.

- 4c. Mr. Hopkins moved to amend and Mr. Williamson seconded:
to include an option for an IFQ system within the hard TAC alternative.

The motion to amend failed on a show of hands.

- 4d. The main motion, as amended, was voted:
to adopt the hard TAC alternative, Section 1.6, into the Amendment 13 document and that for the purposes of analysis in the EIS, to include an option where directed fisheries would have hard TACs and incidental catch fisheries would have soft TACs, with measures to minimize incidental catches. Also, that the PDT develop a system to allow any underages to be applied to subsequent periods.

Yes – Amorello, Blount, Boragine, Calomo, Fernandes, Hopkins, Kurkul, Flagg, Nelson, Smith, Williamson

No – Anderson, Pierce, Stevenson

Abstention(s) – none

Absent – Borden, Kendall, Rice

The main motion, as amended, carried on a roll call vote (11/3/0).

5. Dr. Pierce moved and Ms. Stevenson seconded:
to delete the 3rd bullet, “if a species allocation is reached during the course of the fishing year, the area will be closed to all gear types capable of taking that species,” from Section 3.3 of the US/Canada resource sharing agreement.

The motion failed on a show of hands.

6. Mr. Fernandes moved and Mr. Nelson seconded:
to remove Option 6 from the Closed Area Administration Alternative, Section 3.5.1.6.

The motion carried on a show of hands.

7. Mr. Hopkins moved and Ms. Stevenson seconded:
to add a new Option 1A stating that when a year-round or rolling closure is established, all gears shall be prohibited in the area unless specifically identified as allowed.

The motion failed on a show of hands.

8. Mr. Boragine moved and Mr. Hopkins seconded:
to include a range to allow the leasing of days that would consider 50% of the current allocation and 100% of the current allocation as of August 1st. Any permit holder would be allowed to lease up to the allocation as of April 30, 2002.

8a. The motion was perfected to read:
to include a range A) to allow the leasing of days that would consider 50% of the current allocation and 100% of the current allocation as of the implementation of Amendment 13; B) any permit holder would be allowed to lease up to the allocation as of April 30, 2002; C) an option that would allow a person to lease up to 365 days.

The motion, as perfected, carried on a show of hands.

9. Mr. Anderson moved and Mr. Fernandes seconded:
to include another alternative that would not have the five (5) restrictions listed under the leasing within Categories Alternative, Section 3.6.1, Option 1 (page 48).

The motion carried on a show of hands.

10. Ms. Stevenson moved and Mr. Fernandes seconded:
to eliminate Section 3.11.2, Alternative 2: Industry Proposal from the document (page 53).

The motion carried on a voice vote.

11. Mr. Borden moved and Mr. Nelson seconded:
to include an option for public hearing purposes that the PDT would develop to provide for a running clock with a weighmaster system and any other requirements that may be needed.

The motion was withdrawn by its maker.

12. Mr. Fernandes moved and Mr. Calomo seconded:
to include a post-dating of the call-out number to equal the amount of cod on board with a 48-hour additional limitation.

The motion carried on a show of hands.

13. Mr. Calomo moved and Mr. Anderson seconded:
to remove the Observer Coverage Alternatives, Section 3.9.1 and 3.9.2 (page 52) from the document.

13a. The motion was perfected to read:
to remove the current form of observer coverage and replace it with an appropriate cross-cutting provision on observer coverage.

The motion, as perfected, failed on a show of hands.

14. Mr. Nelson moved and Mr. Williamson seconded:
to reconsider Motion 4g from the previous day.

The motion carried on a voice vote.

- 14a. The reconsidered motion was stated:
to eliminate the yearly declaration into the GOM/Georges Bank.

The motion was withdrawn by its maker.

15. Mr. Flagg moved and Mr. Calomo seconded:
to include in the State Directors alternative, an additional option that substitutes the current GOM cod trip limit exemption program for the GOM annual sign-in program.

The motion carried on a show of hands.

16. Mr. Nelson moved and Mr. Calomo seconded:
that the Council direct the PDT to develop a hardship appeal process for inclusion in the Amendment 13 document.

- 16a. The motion was perfected to read:
that there be an appeals process based on the appeals process in Amendments 5 and 7.

The motion, as perfect, failed on a show of hands.

17. Ms. Stevenson moved and Mr. Flagg seconded:
to include in Amendment 13, three options for counting days-at-sea as follows: 1) 24-hour days; 2) 15-hour days; 3) by the minute.

The motion carried on a voice vote.

18. Ms. Stevenson moved and Mr. Calomo seconded:
to have an analysis of trade off of mesh and other measures so that the 6-inch diamond; 6-1/2" square would be a possible option under appropriate management schemes.

The motion carried on a voice vote.

19. Mr. Anderson moved and Mr. Nelson seconded:
to remove the 21-day day gillnet measure out of the fishery provision, June through September, as currently in the regulations removed from all alternatives.

- 19a. Ms. Stevenson moved to amend and Mr. Calomo seconded:
that the above motion become an alternative.

The motion to amend carried on a show of hands.

- 19b. The motion, as amended:
to include as an alternative removing the 21-day day gillnet measure out of the fishery provision, June through September, as currently in the regulations removed from all alternatives.

The motion, as amended, carried on a show of hands.

OTHER BUSINESS

20. Mr. Calomo moved and Mr. Nelson seconded:
to approve the minutes from the May 16, 2002 Council meeting.

The motion carried on a voice vote.