

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1 – Adaptive management causal factors/hypotheses table .....	45
Figure 2 – Adaptive management action table .....	46
Figure 3 – Area for US/CA Resources Sharing Understanding. Shaded area applies for eastern GB cod and haddock, shaded and cross-hatched area applies for GB yellowtail flounder. ....	56
Figure 4– Process for adopting TACs and measures implementing US/CA resource sharing understanding. ....	57
Figure 5 – Area in CAII that would be open under proposed for GB yellowtail flounder special access program.....	65
Figure 6 – Statistical areas 5zjm (shaded), with CAII shown in outline.....	66
Figure 7 – Area authorized for US/CA Resource Sharing Understanding SAP. (Shaded area is area authorized, stippled area is cod HAPC).....	68
Figure 8 – Northeast region statistical areas.....	82
Figure 9 – Thirty minute squares used to describe management areas.....	83
Figure 10 – Proposed action year round and seasonal closed areas. Level 3 habitat areas are cross hatched. ....	90
Figure 11 Proposed habitat closures (Alternative 10b).....	96
Figure 12 – Northern shrimp fishery exemption area. The proposed action will allow the northern shrimp fishery to take place in all areas.....	99
Figure 13 – Proposed Southern New England General Category Scallop Exemption Area.....	100
Figure 14 – Gulf of Maine proposed management areas (Alternative 5) .....	135
Figure 15 – Gulf of Maine Inshore Conservation and Management Stewardship Plan year round and seasonal closed areas.....	138
Figure 16 – No action GOM rolling closures .....	148
Figure 17 – Regulated mesh areas.....	155
Figure 18 – Two alternatives for the area where use of a raised footrope trawl is required: Option A (left) thirty minute squares 114, 115,123, 124, 124, Option B (right) statistical areas 514 and 521 .....	157
Figure 19 – Alternative 1 year round and seasonal closed areas .....	160
Figure 20 – Alternative 2 year round and seasonal closed areas .....	167
Figure 21 – Option 1 for proposed area management areas.....	169
Figure 22– Option 2 for proposed area management areas.....	169
Figure 23– Option 3 for proposed area management areas.....	170
Figure 24– Option 4 for proposed area management areas.....	170
Figure 25 – Option 5 for proposed area management areas.....	171
Figure 26 – Option 6 for proposed management areas.....	171
Figure 27 - Map and Coordinates for Habitat Alternative 3a (current groundfish closed areas included for reference) .....	184
Figure 28 - Map and Coordinates for Habitat Alternative 3b (current groundfish closed areas included for reference) .....	185
Figure 29 - Map and Coordinates for Habitat Alternative 4 (current groundfish closed areas included for reference) .....	186
Figure 30 - Map and Coordinates for Habitat Alternative 5a (current groundfish closed areas included for reference) .....	188
Figure 31 - Map and Coordinates for Habitat Alternative 5b (current groundfish closed areas included for reference) .....	189
Figure 32 - Map and Coordinates for Habitat Alternative 5c (current groundfish closed areas included for reference) .....	190
Figure 33 - Map and Coordinates for Habitat Alternative 5d (current groundfish closed areas included for reference) .....	191
Figure 34 - Map and Coordinates for Habitat Alternative 6 (current groundfish closed areas included for reference) .....	192

Figure 35 – Alternative 10a: Modified mortality closed areas and other proposed habitat closures including the <i>expanded</i> Cod HAPC in Closed Area II.....	195
Figure 36 – Proposed area closures (see text for possible alternative to year round closure of blocks 124, 132, and 133 being examined by the PDT).....	201
Figure 37 - Map and Coordinates for Habitat Alternative 3a (current groundfish closed areas included for reference) .....	206
Figure 38 - Map and Coordinates for Habitat Alternative 3b (current groundfish closed areas included for reference) .....	207
Figure 39 - Map and Coordinates for Habitat Alternative 4 (current groundfish closed areas included for reference) .....	208
Figure 40 - Map and Coordinates for Habitat Alternative 5a (current groundfish closed areas included for reference) .....	210
Figure 41 - Map and Coordinates for Habitat Alternative 5b (current groundfish closed areas included for reference) .....	211
Figure 42 - Map and Coordinates for Habitat Alternative 5c (current groundfish closed areas included for reference) .....	212
Figure 43 - Map and Coordinates for Habitat Alternative 5d (current groundfish closed areas included for reference) .....	213
Figure 44 - Map and Coordinates for Habitat Alternative 6 (current groundfish closed areas included for reference) .....	214
Figure 45 – Habitat Alternative 10a .....	219
Figure 46 – GB cod phased reduction (2014) biomass trajectory.....	229
Figure 47 – GOM cod adaptive rebuilding strategy biomass trajectory.....	229
Figure 48 – GB haddock adaptive rebuilding strategy biomass trajectory .....	230
Figure 49 – CC/GOM yellowtail flounder phased rebuilding strategy.....	230
Figure 50 – Plaice phased reduction (2014) biomass trajectory .....	231
Figure 51 – SNE/MA winter flounder adaptive rebuilding strategy biomass trajectory .....	232
Figure 52 – Redfish adaptive rebuilding strategy .....	232
Figure 53 – GB cod no action biomass trajectory.....	234
Figure 54 – GOM cod no action biomass trajectory .....	235
Figure 55 – GB haddock no action biomass trajectory.....	235
Figure 56 – SNE/MA yellowtail flounder no action biomass trajectory .....	236
Figure 57 – CC/GOM yellowtail flounder no action biomass trajectory.....	236
Figure 58 – Plaice no action biomass trajectory .....	237
Figure 59 –SNE/MA winter flounder no action biomass trajectory.....	237
Figure 60 – Redfish no action biomass trajectory.....	238
Figure 61 – GB yellowtail flounder no action biomass trajectory .....	238
Figure 62 – GOM winter flounder no action biomass trajectory.....	239
Figure 63 – GOM cod constant F (2009) biomass trajectory .....	242
Figure 64 – GB haddock constant F (2009) biomass trajectory .....	242
Figure 65 – SNE/MA yellowtail flounder constant F (2009) biomass trajectory.....	243
Figure 66 – GOM cod phased reduction (2009) biomass trajectory .....	244
Figure 67 – GB haddock phased reduction (2009) biomass trajectory.....	244
Figure 68 – SNE/MA yellowtail flounder phased reduction (2009) biomass trajectory.....	245
Figure 69 – GB cod constant F (2014) biomass trajectory.....	246
Figure 70 – GOM cod constant F (2014) biomass trajectory .....	246
Figure 71 – GB haddock constant F (2014) biomass trajectory .....	247
Figure 72 – SNE/MA yellowtail flounder constant F (2014) biomass trajectory.....	247
Figure 73 – CC/GOM yellowtail flounder constant F (2014) biomass trajectory .....	248
Figure 74 – Plaice constant F (2014) biomass trajectory.....	248
Figure 75 – SNE/MA winter flounder constant F (2014) biomass trajectory .....	249
Figure 76 – Redfish constant F (2014) biomass trajectory .....	249
Figure 77 – GB cod phased reduction (2014) biomass trajectory.....	250

Figure 78 – GOM cod phased reduction (2014) biomass trajectory.....	251
Figure 79 – GB haddock phased reduction (2014) biomass trajectory.....	251
Figure 80 – SNE/MA yellowtail flounder phased reduction (2014) biomass trajectory.....	252
Figure 81 – Plaice phased reduction (2014) biomass trajectory.....	252
Figure 82 – SNE/MA winter flounder phased reduction (2014) biomass trajectory.....	253
Figure 83 – GB Cod adaptive rebuilding strategy biomass trajectory.....	254
Figure 84 – GOM cod adaptive rebuilding strategy biomass trajectory.....	254
Figure 85 – GB haddock adaptive rebuilding strategy biomass trajectory.....	255
Figure 86 – SNE/MA yellowtail flounder adaptive rebuilding strategy biomass trajectory.....	255
Figure 87 – CC/GOM yellowtail flounder adaptive rebuilding strategy biomass trajectory.....	256
Figure 88 – Plaice adaptive rebuilding strategy biomass trajectory.....	256
Figure 89 – SNE/MA winter flounder adaptive rebuilding strategy biomass trajectory.....	257
Figure 90 – Georges Bank cod rebuilding strategy comparison.....	260
Figure 91 – GOM cod rebuilding strategy comparison.....	260
Figure 92 – GB haddock rebuilding strategy comparison.....	261
Figure 93 – SNE/MA yellowtail flounder rebuilding strategy comparison.....	261
Figure 94 – CC/GOM yellowtail flounder rebuilding strategy comparison.....	262
Figure 95 – Plaice rebuilding strategy comparison.....	262
Figure 96 – SNE/MA winter flounder rebuilding strategy comparison.....	263
Figure 97 – Redfish rebuilding strategy comparison.....	263
Figure 98 – GB cod rebuilding strategy inter-quartile ranges.....	264
Figure 99 – GOM cod rebuilding strategy inter-quartile ranges.....	264
Figure 100 – GB haddock rebuilding strategy inter-quartile ranges.....	265
Figure 101 – SNE/MA yellowtail flounder rebuilding strategy inter-quartile ranges.....	265
Figure 102 – CC/GOM yellowtail flounder rebuilding strategy inter-quartile ranges.....	266
Figure 103 – American plaice rebuilding strategy inter-quartile ranges.....	266
Figure 104 – SNE/MA winter flounder rebuilding strategy inter-quartile ranges.....	267
Figure 105 – Redfish rebuilding strategy inter-quartile ranges.....	267
Figure 106 – Witch flounder no action biomass trajectory.....	269
Figure 107 – Witch flounder $F_{MSY}$ biomass trajectory.....	269
Figure 108 – GB yellowtail flounder $F_{MSY}$ biomass trajectory.....	271
Figure 109 – GOM winter flounder $F_{MSY}$ biomass trajectory.....	271
Figure 110 - GB yellowtail flounder stock area and the GB yellowtail flounder SAP area.....	275
Figure 111 – Tow locations and grid blocks for experimental CAII fishery, Sep-Dec 2002 (source: Manomet Center for Conservation Sciences).....	277
Figure 112 - Mean wt per tow per grid block, total wt per tow for Yellowtail Flounder (source: Manomet Center for Conservation Sciences).....	279
Figure 113 – Grid blocks with the highest average yellowtail catch rate from the experimental fishery in order from September through December, respectively (source: Manomet Center for Conservation Sciences).....	280
Figure 114 – Locations of observed tows inside area 5zjm (source: NMFS unpublished observer data)...	282
Figure 115 – Observed Cod CPUE from TSF/SMASST Trawl Survey Project (from Rothschild and Brown 2002).....	293
Figure 116 – Observed haddock CPUE from TSF/SMASST Trawl Survey Project (from Rothschild and Brown 2002).....	294
Figure 117 – Observed plaice CPUE from TSF/SMASST Trawl Survey Project (from Rothschild and Brown 2002).....	295
Figure 118 - Maximum potential DAS utilization for a given fleet-wide average number of carryover DAS (on X axis), assuming a 1000 vessel fleet.....	299
Figure 119 - Maximum potential DAS utilization for a given fleet-wide average number of carryover DAS (on X axis), assuming a 750 vessel fleet.....	299
Figure 120 - Maximum potential DAS utilization for a given fleet-wide average number of carryover DAS (on X axis), assuming a 500 vessel fleet.....	299

Figure 121 – Harvest distribution, GB cod, party/charter anglers .....	360
Figure 122 – Size distribution, GB cod, party/charter anglers .....	360
Figure 123 – Harvest distribution, GB cod, private boat anglers.....	361
Figure 124 – Size distribution, GB cod, private boat anglers.....	361
Figure 125 – Harvest distribution, GOM cod, party/charter anglers.....	362
Figure 126 – Size distribution, GOM cod, party/charter anglers.....	362
Figure 127 – Harvest distribution, GOM cod, private boat anglers .....	363
Figure 128 – Size distribution, GOM cod, private boat anglers .....	363
Figure 129– Distribution of all observed tuna purse seine sets, 1996 and 2000. (Resolution and scale of chart hides multiple sets in similar positions). .....	378
Figure 130 – Location of observed tows in Closed Area II, 2000 Sea Scallop Exemption program. Multiple tows at each location .....	385
Figure 131 – Observed sea scallop tows with yellowtail flounder bycatch.....	386
Figure 132 –Observed sea scallop tows with cod bycatch, 2000.....	387
Figure 133 – Observed sea scallop tows with winter flounder bycatch .....	388
Figure 134 – Mean wt per tow per grid block, total wt per tow for Barndoor Skate.....	389
Figure 135 - Mean wt per tow per grid block, total wt per tow for Little Skate.....	389
Figure 136 - Mean wt per tow per grid block, total wt per tow for Winter Skate.....	390
Figure 137 - Mean wt per tow per grid block, total wt per tow for Sea Scallops (wt in shell).....	390
Figure 138 - Mean wt per tow per grid block, total wt per tow for Winter Flounder.....	391
Figure 139 - Mean wt per tow per grid block, total wt per tow for Haddock.....	391
Figure 140 – Mean wt per tow per grid block, total wt per tow for Cod.....	392
Figure 141 – Mean wt per tow per grid block, total wt per tow for Monkfish. ....	392
Figure 142 - Observed skate CPUE from TSF/SMASST Trawl Survey Project (from Rothschild and Brown 2002).....	399
Figure 143 – Results of trip limit analysis for GOM cod trip limit options. Y-axis: expected discard rate. X- axis: reduction in mortality compared to no trip limit.....	410
Figure 144 - GB cod trip limit scenarios.....	411
Figure 145 –SNE/MA yellowtail flounder trip limit scenarios .....	412
Figure 146 – 1998-2001 trawl vessel reported activity inside the year-round Closed Areas (targeted species are primarily shrimp and herring) .....	463
Figure 147 – 1998-2001 handline vessel reported activity inside the year-round CAs (targeted species is primarily tuna).....	464
Figure 148 - 1998-2001 trap vessel reported activity inside the year-round CAs (targeted species is primarily lobster) .....	464
Figure 149 – Scallop vessel activity during the scallop Framework 13 Access Program .....	465
Figure 150 – Surf Clam/Ocean Quahog activity, 2001 (only).....	466
Figure 151 – Composite of all exempted closed area trips for which data is available .....	467
Figure 152 – 1998 Vessel Monitoring System Data for Scallop Fishery.....	468
Figure 153 - 1999 Vessel Monitoring System Data for Scallop Fishery. ....	469
Figure 154 - 2000 Vessel Monitoring System Data for Scallop Fishery.....	470
Figure 155 - Summary of DAS Use in the Groundfish Fishery, 1996-2001 .....	475
Figure 156 - Mean weight per tow of Atlantic Cod (1995-2001 trawl surveys) with sediment types of the Northwest Atlantic (modified from original map by Poppe <i>et al.</i> 1989).....	478
Figure 157 - Raised footrope trawl area (highlighted).....	479
Figure 158 - Proposed Southern New England General Category Scallop Exemption Area.....	489
Figure 159 - Mean weight per tow of Atlantic Sea Scallops (1995-2001 trawl surveys) with sediment types of the affected area (modified from original map by Poppe <i>et al.</i> 1989).....	490
Figure 160 – Sediment map of the Northwest Atlantic Analysis Area based on Poppe <i>et al.</i> data (1989)..	497
Figure 161 – Poppe <i>et al.</i> (1989) sampling locations .....	498
Figure 162 – Stokesbury and Harris (2002) substrate data for areas on Nantucket Shoals from Asia Rip north to Davis Bank and extending west into Closed Area I. ....	499

Figure 163 – Spatial distribution of shrimp trawl activity inside the existing year-round groundfish closed areas.....	528
Figure 164 – Spatial distribution of clam dredge activity inside the existing year-round groundfish closed areas.....	529
Figure 165 - Inshore restricted roller gear area, which imposes a 12 inch limit on roller gear.....	530
Figure 166 – All trawl survey stations 1963-2001 overlaid with locations of significant gear damage .....	531
Figure 167 – 2nm buffer around significant hangs with sediment data, Nantucket Shoals area.....	532
Figure 168 – 2nm buffer around significant hangs with sediment data, Northern Edge area.....	533
Figure 169 – Hangs per ten minute square.....	533
Figure 170 – VMS data from 2000 showing spatial extent of actual scallop fishery.....	536
Figure 171 – VTR data (unfiltered) from 2001 showing spatial extent of reported groundfish fishery.....	537
Figure 172 - Proposed habitat closures (Alternative 10b).....	560
Figure 173 - Map depicting SBNMS boundary, truncated WGOM closure area, fiber optic cable route through SBNMS, and long-term Seafloor Habitat Recovery Monitoring stations.....	563
Figure 174 – Proposed action (2014) projected commercial landings .....	569
Figure 175 – Proposed action (2014) projected commercial revenues.....	569
Figure 176 – Proposed action (2014) projected return on income and owner profit .....	570
Figure 177 – No action alternative (2009), projected commercial landings .....	572
Figure 178 – No action alternative (2009), projected nominal commercial revenues.....	572
Figure 179 – No action alternative (2009), projected return on income and owner profit .....	573
Figure 180 – Status quo, projected commercial landings .....	575
Figure 181 – Status quo, projected nominal commercial revenues.....	575
Figure 182 – Status quo, projected return on income and owner profit .....	576
Figure 183 – Constant fishing mortality (2009), projected commercial landings .....	578
Figure 184 – Constant fishing mortality (2009), projected nominal commercial revenues.....	578
Figure 185 – Constant fishing mortality (2009), projected return on income and owner profit.....	579
Figure 186 – Phased-F (2009), projected commercial landings .....	581
Figure 187 – Phased-F (2009), projected commercial revenues.....	581
Figure 188 – Phased-F, projected return to income and owner profit .....	582
Figure 189 - Difference in projected landings (2009) for rebuilding strategies .....	583
Figure 190 – 2009 rebuilding date - annual difference in discounted gross revenues relative to no action	584
Figure 191 – 2009 rebuilding date – net present value of average economic surplus, net of no action .....	586
Figure 192 – 2009 rebuilding date – probability that present value of net benefit for different rebuilding programs will exceed no action, 2003 – 2014.....	586
Figure 193 - 2009 rebuilding date – probability that present value of net benefit for different rebuilding programs will exceed no action, 2003 – 2026.....	587
Figure 194 – 2009 rebuilding date – sensitivity of net present value to discount rate .....	587
Figure 195 – Constant fishing mortality (2014), projected commercial landings .....	589
Figure 196 – Constant fishing mortality (2014), projected nominal commercial revenues.....	589
Figure 197 – Constant fishing mortality (2014), projected returns to income and owner profit .....	590
Figure 198 – Phased fishing mortality (2014), projected commercial landings .....	592
Figure 199 – Phased fishing mortality (2014), projected nominal commercial revenue .....	592
Figure 200 – Phased fishing mortality (2014), projected nominal return to income and owner profit .....	593
Figure 201 – Adaptive strategy (2014), projected commercial landings .....	595
Figure 202 – Adaptive strategy (2014), projected nominal commercial revenues .....	595
Figure 203 – Adaptive strategy (2014), projected nominal returns to income and owner profit.....	596
Figure 204 – Historic and projected landings of ten regulated groundfish (under alternative rebuilding strategies, 2014 ending date for most stocks).....	600
Figure 205 – Annual difference in projected landings for different rebuilding strategies.....	601
Figure 206 – Projected annual difference in gross revenues for different rebuilding .....	601
Figure 207 – Average cumulative net present value for different rebuilding strategies.....	602
Figure 208 – Sensitivity of net present value to discount rate for different rebuilding strategies .....	602

Figure 209 – Cumulative probability that net benefit of different rebuilding strategies will exceed no action (2003-2014) .....	603
Figure 210 – Cumulative probability that net benefit of different rebuilding strategies will exceed no action (2003-2026) .....	603
Figure 211 – Difference in commercial landings (2104 end date minus 2009 end date) .....	605
Figure 212 – Difference in nominal commercial revenues (2014 minus 2009 end date) .....	605
Figure 213 – Difference in net benefits (2014 minus 2009 end date) .....	606
Figure 214 – Sensitivity of present value of net benefit of longer rebuilding time to selected discount rate .....	606
Figure 215 - Map of subregions considered in analyses.....	708
Figure 216 - Average landed revenue per year per statistical area for vessels reporting Maine as their principal port state (source: prorated vessel trip reports, revenues in 2002 dollars).....	723
Figure 217 - Revenues from species landed by Maine vessels reporting trips from outside the Gulf of Maine from 1995 through 2002 (source: prorated vessel trip reports, revenues in 2002 dollars). .....	724
Figure 218 – Scatter plot of George’s Bank trips divided by annual revenue for all vessels reporting at least one trip in statistical areas 514, 521 and 522 (source: prorated vessel trip reports). .....	726
Figure 219 – Process used to determine opportunity cost of landing trips in Gloucester vice Portland. ....	728
Figure 220 - U.S. Northeast shelf ecosystem. ....	1118
Figure 221- Map showing distribution of surficial sediments, Gulf of Maine, Georges Bank, and the Mid-Atlantic Bight (modified from original map by Poppe <i>et al.</i> 1989).....	1120
Figure 222 - Mid-Atlantic Bight submarine morphology. Source: Stumpf and Biggs (1988).....	1124
Figure 223 - Major features of the Mid-Atlantic and Southern New England continental shelf. Source: Stumpf and Biggs (1988).....	1124
Figure 224 - Bathymetry of the U.S. Atlantic continental margin. Contour interval is 200 m below 1000 m water depth and 100 m above 1000 m. Axes of principal canyons and channels are shown by solid lines (dashed where uncertain or approximate). Source: Tucholke (1987).....	1127
Figure 225 – Biomass of 12 groundfish species, 1989 – 2001 .....	1131
Figure 226 – Trends in fishing mortality by area.....	1132
Figure 227 – Groundfish stock status, 1996 (NEFSC, unpublished data) .....	1134
Figure 228 - Groundfish stock status, 2002 (NEFSC, unpublished data).....	1134
Figure 229 - Distribution of the seven major benthic assemblages in the Gulf of Maine as determined from both soft bottom quantitative sampling and qualitative hard bottom sampling. ....	1163
Figure 230 - Sedimentary provinces of eastern Georges Bank based on criteria of sea floor morphology, texture, sediment movement and bedforms, and mean tidal bottom current speed (cm/sec).....	1168
Figure 231 - Spatial overlap of primary finfish species on Georges Bank, 1970s (as modified from Garrison and Link 2000).....	1169
Figure 232 - Spatial overlap of primary finfish species on Georges Bank, 1980s (as modified from Garrison and Link 2000).....	1170
Figure 233 - Spatial overlap of primary finfish species on Georges Bank, 1990s (as modified from Garrison and Link 2000).....	1170
Figure 234 - Spatial overlap of primary finfish species on Georges Bank, spring 1970-1998 (as modified from Garrison and Link 2000).....	1171
Figure 235 - Spatial overlap of primary finfish species on Georges Bank, Summer 1970-1998 (as modified from Garrison and Link 2000).....	1171
Figure 236 - Spatial overlap of primary finfish species on Georges Bank, fall 1970-1998 (as modified from Garrison and Link 2000).....	1172
Figure 237 - Spatial overlap of primary finfish species on Georges Bank, winter 1970-1998 (as modified from Garrison and Link 2000).....	1172
Figure 238 - Schematic representation of major macrofaunal zones on the Mid-Atlantic shelf. ....	1174
Figure 239 - Dietary guild structure of Northeast finfish species.....	1181
Figure 240 - Distribution of surficial sediments and sampling locations in the U.S. Northeast region (modified from Poppe <i>et al.</i> 1986).....	1206

Figure 241 – Sub-regions of the U.S. Northeast shelf and areas on Georges Bank closed to bottom trawling since 1995 .....	1207
Figure 242 - Spatial distribution of ten minute squares (TMS) that account for high (50%), medium (75%), and low (90%) levels of fishing activity by bottom gill nets in the U.S. Northeast region and overlays of 90% TMS on sediments.....	1209
Figure 243 - Spatial distribution of ten minute squares (TMS) that account for high (50%), medium (75%), and low (90%) levels of fishing activity by bottom longlines in the U.S. Northeast region and overlays of 90% TMS on sediments.....	1210
Figure 244 - Spatial distribution of ten minute squares (TMS) that account for high (50%), medium (75%), and low (90%) levels of fishing activity by bottom otter trawls in the U.S. Northeast region and overlays of 90% TMS on sediments.....	1211
Figure 245 - Spatial distribution of ten minute squares (TMS) that account for high (50%), medium (75%), and low (90%) levels of fishing activity by scallop dredges in the U.S. Northeast region and overlays of 90% TMS on sediments.....	1212
Figure 246 - Spatial distribution of ten minute squares (TMS) that account for high (50%), medium (75%), and low (90%) levels of fishing activity by hydraulic clam dredges in the U.S. Northeast region and overlays of 90% TMS on sediments.....	1213
Figure 247 - Total and percent trips for the three principal fixed gear types used in the Northeast region by sub-region (top two graphs) and percent of total area in each sub-region and in the entire NE region occupied by TMS that account for 90% of the total fishing activity by each gear type (bottom graph). .....	1214
Figure 248 - Relative distribution of fishing activity by sediment type for vessels fishing with pots, bottom gill nets (GNS), and bottom longlines (LLB) as a percentage of the area occupied by TMS that accounted for 90% of the total number of trips in four sub-regions of the U.S. NE region. ....	1215
Figure 249 - Percent area by sediment type and percent area of each sediment type that was included in ten minute squares that accounted for 90% of total fishing activity by pots, bottom gill nets, and bottom longlines during 1995-2001 in the U.S. Northeast region. ....	1216
Figure 250 - Total and percent days absent from port or fishing for the three principal mobile gear types used in the Northeast region by sub-region (top two graphs) and percent of total area in each sub-region and in the entire NE region occupied by TMS that account for 90% of the total fishing activity by each gear type (bottom graph). ....	1217
Figure 251 - Relative distribution of fishing activity by sediment type for bottom trawl (BT), scallop dredge (DRS), and hydraulic clam dredge (HYD) vessels as a percentage of the area occupied by TMS that accounted for 90% of the total number of days absent from port or days fishing in four sub-regions of the U.S. NE region. ....	1218
Figure 252 - Percent area by sediment type and percent area of each sediment type present that was included in ten minute squares that accounted for 90% of total fishing activity by bottom trawls, scallop dredges, and hydraulic clam dredges during 1995-2001 in the U.S. Northeast region. ....	1219
Figure 253 – Landings and Revenues in the Multispecies Fishery, 1994-2001 .....	1336
Figure 254 – Number of limited access groundfish permits removed by the 2002 vessel buyout program .....	1384
Figure 255 - Map of 30' squares in the Gulf of Maine and Georges Bank .....	1395
Figure 256 - Percentage of total cod landings attributed to fishing effort in the Gulf of Maine (by 30' squares), 1996-2000 .....	1396
Figure 257 - Percentage of total cod landings attributed to fishing effort on Georges Bank (by 30' squares), 1996-2000 .....	1397
Figure 258 - Percentage of total days absent in the Gulf of Maine (by 30' squares), 1996-2000 .....	1398
Figure 259 - Percentage of total days absent on Georges Bank (by 30' squares), 1996-2000.....	1398
Figure 260 - Percent of total GOM cod landings by month, 1996-2000 .....	1399
Figure 261 - Percent of total GB cod landings by month, 1996-2000.....	1400
Figure 262 - Percent of total days absent by vessels fishing for GOM cod by month, 1996-2000 .....	1400
Figure 263 - Percent of total days absent by vessels fishing for GB cod by month, 1996-2000.....	1401
Figure 264 - Vessel safety incidents in all fisheries .....	1403

Figure 265 - Vessel safety incidents on trawl, gillnet, and longline vessels .....	1403
Figure 266 - Fishing vessel deaths and injuries in all fisheries .....	1404
Figure 267 - Fishing vessel deaths and injuries on trawl, longline, and gillnet vessels .....	1404
Figure 268 - Number of fishing vessel injuries by length of vessel on trawl, longline, and gillnet vessels .....	1406
Figure 269 - Number of fishing vessel deaths, by vessel length on trawl, gillnet, and longline vessels ....	1406
Figure 270 - Georges Bank cod landings and discards, by gear (GARM 2002) .....	1410
Figure 271 - Georges Bank winter flounder landings and discards, by gear. Estimates considered unreliable due to low number of observed trips (SAW 34) .....	1411
Figure 272 - White hake discards by gear and landings (metric tons) (GARM 2002) .....	1412
Figure 273 - White hake discards at age, numbers ('000) of fish. (SAW 33) .....	1412
Figure 274 - GOM cod commercial landings and discards. Estimates shown based on sea sampling data, see text for additional discussion. (GARM 2002) .....	1414
Figure 275 - Recreational GOM cod harvest and discards, numbers ('000) of fish. (MRFSS, SAW 33) ..	1414
Figure 276 - Georges Bank haddock landings and discards (TRAC 2001) .....	1415
Figure 277 - GB yellowtail flounder landings and discards, all gear, metric tons. (GARM 2002) .....	1416
Figure 278 - GB yellowtail flounder discards at age, numbers ('000) of fish. (GARM 2002) .....	1416
Figure 279 - GB yellowtail flounder discards at age, metric tons. (GARM 2002) .....	1417
Figure 280 - SNE/MA winter flounder commercial landings and discards (SAW 36) .....	1418
Figure 281 - SNE/MA winter flounder commercial discards at age, numbers ('000) of fish. (SAW 36)...	1418
Figure 282 - SNE/MA winter flounder recreational landings and discards, numbers ('000) of fish. (SAW 36) .....	1419
Figure 283 - SNE/MA winter flounder recreational discards at age, numbers ('000) of fish. (SAW 36)...	1419
Figure 284 - American plaice discards by gear and landings, metric tons. (GARM 2002) .....	1420
Figure 285 - American plaice otter trawl discards at age, numbers ('000) of fish. (GARM 2002) .....	1421
Figure 286 - American plaice northern shrimp fishery discards at age, numbers ('000) of fish. (GARM 2002) .....	1421
Figure 287 - Witch flounder landings and discards, metric tons. (SAW 37) .....	1422
Figure 288 - Witch flounder large mesh otter trawl discards at age, numbers ('000) of fish. (SAW 37) ...	1423
Figure 289 - Witch flounder northern shrimp fishery discards at age, numbers ('000) of fish. (SAW 37)...	1423
Figure 290 - CC/GOM yellowtail flounder landings and discards by gear, metric tons. (SAW 36) .....	1424
Figure 291 - CC/GOM yellowtail flounder discards at age, numbers ('000) of fish. (SAW 36) .....	1425
Figure 292 - SNE/MA yellowtail flounder discards and landings, metric tons. (SAW 36) .....	1426
Figure 293 - SNE/MA yellowtail flounder discards at age, numbers ('000) of fish. (SAW 36) .....	1426
Figure 294 - GOM winter flounder commercial landings and discards, by gear. (SAW - 36) .....	1427
Figure 295 - GOM winter flounder commercial discards at age, numbers ('000). (SAW - 36) .....	1428
Figure 296 - GOM winter flounder recreational discards, numbers ('000). (SAW - 36) .....	1428
Figure 297 - GOM winter flounder recreational discards at age, numbers ('000). (SAW - 36) .....	1429
Figure 298 - Skate discards by gear typically used to catch groundfish, metric tons. (SAW 30) .....	1430
Figure 299 - Monkfish discards, otter trawl and gillnets, metric tons. (SAW 34) .....	1433
Figure 300 - Estimates of spiny dogfish dead discards for trawl and gillnet gear (Source: MAFMC 1999) .....	1434
Figure 301 - Spiny dogfish discards on trips targeting principal groundfish or flatfish (Source: SAW 37) .....	1435
Figure 302 - Annual catch per trip, trips per angler, and participation rates for the North Atlantic States, 1981-2001 .....	1444
Figure 303 - Annual catch per trip, trips per angler, and participation rates for the Mid- Atlantic States, 1981-2001 <i>Source Data: MRFSS database. Years denote calendar years.</i> .....	1445
Figure 304 - Mortality as a percent of total catch by recreational fishing mode .....	1447
Figure 305 - Percent of total trips by recreational fishing mode. 1990-2000. ....	1448
Figure 306 - Percent of total mortality by recreational fishing mode. 1990-2000 .....	1449
Figure 307 - Composition of top-five regulated species kept by New England party/charter vessels. 1995-2001. ....	1458

Figure 308 - Composition of top-five regulated species kept by Mid-Atlantic party/charter vessels. 1995-2001. <i>Source Data: NMFS VTR database. Years denote fishing years – May 1 to April 30.</i> .....	1459
Figure 309 - Composition of groundfish species kept by New England party/charter vessels. 1995-2001. <i>Source Data: NMFS VTR database. Years denote fishing years – May 1 to April 30.</i> .....	1459
Figure 310 - Cumulative percent of Gulf of Maine cod kept by size class for party/charter mode. 1993-2001. ....	1464
Figure 311- Cumulative percent of Gulf of Maine cod kept by size class for private boat. 1993-2001. ....	1464
Figure 312 - Cumulative percent of Gulf of Maine cod kept by kept class for private boat. 1993-2001. ..	1465
Figure 313 - Change in numbers of Gulf of Maine cod catch by party/charter mode. 1995-2000. ....	1467
Figure 314 - Cumulative percent of party/charter trips catching cod by month. 1995-2001. ....	1468
Figure 315 - Cumulative percent of party/charter cod catch by month. 1995-2001. ....	1469
Figure 316 - Gulf of Maine average cod keep per party/charter angler and per trip 1995-2000. ....	1470
Figure 317 - Cumulative percent of party/charter trips, anglers, and Gulf of Maine cod kept catch by keep class. Fishing year 1999-2001. ....	1471
Figure 318 - Cumulative percent of party/charter trips, passengers, and Gulf of Maine cod catch. Fishing year 2001.....	1472
Figure 319 - Percent of Total Party/Charter trips Taken in the Statistical Areas 513 and 514. Fishing years 1995-2001. ....	1473
Figure 320 - Percent of Total GOM Cod Party/Charter Keep in Statistical Areas 513 and 514. Fishing years 1995-2001. ....	1474
Figure 321 - Cumulative Percent of Party/Charter GOM Cod Catch in Statistical Area 514 by Month. Fishing years 1995-2001.....	1475
Figure 322 - Cumulative Percent of Party/Charter GOM Cod Party/Charter Trips in Statistical Area 514 by Month. Fishing years 1995-2001. ....	1475
Figure 323 - Cumulative Percent of GOM Party/Charter Passengers Catching Cod in Statistical Area 514 by Month. Fishing years 1995-2001. ....	1476
Figure 324 - New England processing and wholesale sector employment, 1995-1999. ....	1480
Figure 325 - Number of employees in wholesale and processing sectors in New England, 1995-1999. ...	1480
Figure 326 - Number of Processing, Wholesale, and Market Establishments in New England States, 1998-2001 .....	1483
Figure 327 - Number of Processing, Wholesale, and Market Establishments in Mid-Atlantic States, 1998-2001 .....	1483
Figure 328 - New England groundfish landings and processed fresh groundfish volume, 1995-1999. ....	1489
Figure 329 - Average value and output of New England fresh groundfish processed product, 1995-1999. ....	1489
Figure 330 - Average value and output of New England frozen groundfish processed product, 1995-1999. ....	1490

## **Volume III**

### **List of Appendices**

- Appendix I Report from the Social Impact Informational Meetings
- Appendix II US/Canada Guidance on Options for Determining Resource Shares
- Appendix III Development of a Sharing Allocation Proposal for Transboundary Resources of Cod, Haddock and Yellowtail Flounder on Georges Bank
- Appendix IV Workshop on the Effects of Fishing Gear on Marine Habitats off the Northeastern United States
- Appendix V Days at Sea Use Through Fishing Year 2002
- Appendix VI Gulf of Maine and Georges Bank Cod Fisheries in Fishing Year 2001
- Appendix VII Gulf of Maine Cod Trip Limit Summaries
- Appendix VIII Pacific Whiting Conservation Cooperative
- Appendix IX Scoping for the EFH Components of Groundfish Amendment 13 and Scallop Amendment 10
- Appendix X Maps and Coordinates For The Habitat Closed Area Alternatives
- Appendix XI Analytical Methods Used to Compare Closed Area Options
- Appendix XII Detailed Data For The EFH Metric Analysis For The Habitat Alternatives Combined With the Amendment 13 Status quo Mortality Closures (Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 4)
- Appendix XIII The Gulf of Maine Inshore Fisheries Conservation and Stewardship Plan of 2002
- Appendix XIV Testing Bycatch in an Observer-Based Experimental Shrimp Fishery Conducted in an Area of Higher Groundfish Concentration
- Appendix XV Summary of Interim Groundfish Measures Implemented August 1, 2002
- Appendix XVI Analytic techniques
- Appendix XVII Responses to public comments
- Appendix XVIII Report on Market Information and Fisheries Management, By Cathy A. Roheim, Eyjolfur Gudmundsson, Frank Asche and Jung-Sam Lee

## **Volume IV**

### **Public Comments**

## Table of Acronyms

ALWTRP	Atlantic Large Whale Take Reduction Plan
APA	Administrative Procedures Act
ASMFC	Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission
CAI	Closed Area I
CAII	Closed Area II
CC	Cape Cod
CPUE	catch per unit of effort
DAM	Dynamic Area Management
DAS	days-at-sea
DFO	Department of Fisheries and Oceans (Canada)
DMF	Division of Marine Fisheries (Massachusetts)
DMR	Department of Marine Resources (Maine)
DSEIS	Draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement
EA	Environmental Assessment
EEZ	exclusive economic zone
EFH	essential fish habitat
EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
ESA	Endangered Species Act
FAAS	Flexible Area Action System
FMP	fishery management plan
FSCS	Fisheries Scientific Computer System
FW	framework
FY	fishing year
GAMS	General Algebraic Modeling System
GB	Georges Bank
GIS	Geographic Information System
GOM	Gulf of Maine
GRT	gross registered tons/tonnage
HAPC	habitat area of particular concern
HPTRP	Harbor Porpoise Take Reduction Plan
I/O	input/output
IFQ	individual fishing quota
ITQ	individual transferable quota
IVR	interactive voice response reporting system
IWC	International Whaling Commission
LOA	letter of authorization
LPUE	landings per unit of effort
MA	Mid-Atlantic
MAFAC	Marine Fisheries Advisory Committee
MAFMC	Mid-Atlantic Fishery Management Council
MARFIN	Marine Fisheries Initiative
MEY	maximum economic yield
MMC	Multispecies Monitoring Committee
MMPA	Marine Mammal Protection Act
MPA	marine protected area
MRFSS	Marine Recreational Fishery Statistics Survey
MSFCMA	Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act
MSMC	Multispecies Monitoring Committee
MSY	maximum sustainable yield

NAA	No Action Alternative
NAPA	National Academy of Public Administration
NAS	National Academy of Sciences
NEFMC	New England Fishery Management Council
NEFSC	Northeast Fisheries Science Center
NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
NERO	Northeast Regional Office
NFMA	Northern Fishery Management Area
NLCA	Nantucket Lightship closed area
NMFS	National Marine Fisheries Service
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NSTC	Northern Shrimp Technical Committee
NT	net tonnage
NWA	Northwest Atlantic
OLE	Office for Law Enforcement
OY	optimum yield
PBR	Potential Biological Removal
PRA	Paperwork Reduction Act
PREE	Preliminary Regulatory Economic Evaluation
RFA	Regulatory Flexibility Act
RMA	Regulated Mesh Area
RPA	Reasonable and Prudent Alternatives
SAFE	Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation
SAP	Special Access Program
SARC	Stock Assessment Review Committee
SAW	Stock Assessment Workshop
SBNMS	Stellwagen Bank National Marine Sanctuary
SEIS	Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement
SFA	Sustainable Fisheries Act
SFMA	Southern Fishery Management Area
SIA	Social Impact Assessment
SNE	southern New England
SNE/MA	southern New England-Mid-Atlantic
SSB	spawning stock biomass
SSC	Social Science Committee
TAC	total allowable catch
TED	turtle excluder device
TMS	ten minute square
TRAC	Transboundary Resources Assessment Committee
TSB	total stock biomass
USCG	United States Coast Guard
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service
VMS	vessel monitoring system
VPA	virtual population analysis
VTR	vessel trip report
WGOM	Western Gulf of Maine
WO	weighout
YPR	yield per recruit

**INTENTIONALLY BLANK**

**INTENTIONALLY BLANK**